

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/475,614	12/30/1999	Gilbert Wolrich	10559/137001/P7876	10559/137001/P7876 6580		
20985	7590 01/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 12390 EL CAMINO REAL			ENG, DAVID Y			
	, CA 92130-2081		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2155			
		•	DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005	DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T						
	·	Applicati n N .		Applicant(s)				
		09/475,614		WOLRICH ET AL.				
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	-			
		DAVID Y. ENG		2155				
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears n the c ver sheet with th correspondence address Peri d for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, how ply within the statutory mid will apply and will expire te, cause the application	rever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 (October 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	<u> </u>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>26-43</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 44</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the	e attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Pri rity (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been rec	eived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•		ed in this National	Stage			
• .	application from the International Burea	•	` ''					
- 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified c	opies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗔	Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	8) 5) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PT	O-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	~,	Other:		•			

Application/Control Number: 09/475,614

Art Unit: 2155

Claims 1-44 are pending in the application. Claims 26-43 are withdrawn from consideration because of non-elected claims. Newly submitted claim 44 has been entered. The active claims are 1-25 and 44.

There are more than 150 references cited in the 1449 filed October 18, 2004.

The Examiner would like to request Applicants' assistance to provide to the Examiner the relevance of each of the references with respect to the claims. See 37CFR 1.98 (a)(3)(i).

Applicants are requested to identify the supports of newly submitted claim 44 in the drawings and the corresponding description in the specification.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-25 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allison (USP 6,373,848) in view of Belkin (USP 6,604,125).

Details of the rejection have already been set forth in the last Office action. The details are incorporated herein by reference thereto.

With respect to claim 44, see Figure 1 in Belkin. Figure 1 shows a processor 106 comprising a plurality of engines for providing multiple threads (instruction streams from each of the engines). The engines are controlled by programs and therefore are programmable. Further, no patentable weight is given to the engines being programmable because there is no recitation as to how the programmable feature is related to the method of receiving data from a network. Further more, programmable engines are well known in the art. See the abstract of Catthoor (USP 6,223,274).

Application/Control Number: 09/475,614

Art Unit: 2155

In the communication filed on October 13, 2004, Applicants admit that in Allison, received data is transferred from the different ports into the RxFIFO 43. However, Applicants contended that the Examiner has not identified a single request that both directs a transfer of data from one of a plurality of device ports to a storage to a storage unit and specifies a thread to process the data as claimed in claim 1. The Examiner did identify such a request in the Allison patent in his previous Office action (see the Section 103 rejection on page 3 of the action). If Applicants disagree the teaching of such a request in Allison, Applicants are requested to identify the support of the request as recited in claim 1 in the specification.

Applicants further contended that the signaling from the RsFIFO 43 to the control logic 34, does not direct transfer of data from one of the ports to the RsFIFO 43 or register 30. The Examiner did not correspond the signaling from the RsFIFO 43 to the control logic as Applicants' request. Rather, the Examiner said in his previous Office action that control logic 34 is for processing data. The request in Allison is taught in Figure 9 and demonstrated in Figure 1. In response to a request (the signal S which initiates the transfer shown in Figure 9), data is transferred from one of the ports (see ports 1-n in Figure 1) to RxFIFO for the control logic to process.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

DAVID Y. ENG PRIMARY EXAMINER